
| RESEARCH ARTICLE

Article DOI: 10.21474/ JNHST01/150

DOI URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.21474/ JNHST01/150>

DIGITAL TECHNOLOGY AND THE TRANSFORMATION OF HUMAN VALUES: A CRITICAL STUDY OF SOCIETY, CULTURE, AND SOCIAL RELATIONSHIPS

Ayaan Malik

Corresponding Author: Ayaan Malik

| ABSTRACT

Digital technology has become an important force in shaping contemporary human life, social relationships, cultural practices, and systems of knowledge. The rapid growth of smartphones, social media, artificial intelligence, and digital communication has transformed the ways individuals interact with one another and understand the world. Although digital technology offers significant opportunities for education, social participation, cultural exchange, and economic development, it also raises important questions concerning privacy, identity, inequality, human values, and social responsibility. This paper critically examines the relationship between digital technology and the transformation of human values from the perspective of humanities and social thought. It explores how digital platforms influence communication, interpersonal relationships, cultural identity, education, and public participation. The paper also discusses the digital divide, algorithmic influence, misinformation, and the ethical challenges associated with artificial intelligence. Using a qualitative, conceptual, and interdisciplinary approach, the study argues that technological progress should be evaluated not only through efficiency and innovation but also through its contribution to human dignity, equality, freedom, and social justice. The paper concludes that a human-centered digital culture requires ethical awareness, critical education, responsible technological governance, and greater attention to marginalized communities.

| KEYWORDS

Digital technology, human values, social relationships, cultural identity, digital divide, ethics, social change, humanities.

| ARTICLE INFORMATION

RECEIVED: 24 May 2026

ACCEPTED: 30 June 2026

PUBLISHED: July 2026

Introduction:-

The development of digital technology has significantly influenced the structure and functioning of contemporary society. Communication, education, employment, entertainment, governance, and cultural production increasingly depend on digital platforms and information networks. Technologies such as smartphones, social media, cloud computing, and artificial intelligence have made information more accessible and enabled people to connect across geographical boundaries. At the same time, these developments have introduced new social challenges that require careful examination.

Technology is not merely a collection of machines or technical instruments. It is also a social and cultural force that influences human behavior, institutional structures, and systems of thought. The ways in which people communicate, develop relationships, express identity, and participate in public life are increasingly shaped by digital environments. As a result, the study of technology cannot be separated from questions of morality, culture, politics, and human values.

The humanities and social sciences provide valuable approaches for understanding these changes. While technical disciplines often focus on innovation, performance, and efficiency, humanities-based inquiry examines the meanings, consequences, and ethical implications of technological development. Social thought, meanwhile, investigates how technology influences social institutions, power relations, inequality, and collective behavior.

The transformation of society through digital technology has created both opportunities and tensions. Digital platforms can strengthen communication, support educational access, and provide spaces for marginalized voices. However, unequal access

to technology, online misinformation, privacy concerns, and algorithmic discrimination can reinforce existing inequalities. Furthermore, excessive dependence on digital communication may affect the quality of interpersonal relationships and the ways in which individuals understand social responsibility. This paper examines the relationship between digital technology and human values through a critical interdisciplinary perspective.

It focuses on the following questions:-

1. How has digital technology transformed human communication and social relationships?
2. What influence do digital platforms have on cultural identity and social participation?
3. What ethical and social challenges arise from the expansion of digital technology?
4. How can human values guide the responsible development and use of technology?

The central argument of this paper is that digital progress should be understood as a social and ethical process rather than simply a technical achievement.

Review of Literature:-

Digital Transformation and Social Change:-

Digital transformation has become a major subject of research in contemporary social theory. Martin Hilbert explains that technological development has increasingly focused on the transformation of information and the use of digital systems to generate knowledge and support decision-making. This development has influenced economic organization, communication, public administration, and everyday life. The transformation of information has changed the relationship between individuals and institutions. Information can now be created, distributed, stored, and analyzed at an unprecedented scale. However, the availability of information does not automatically guarantee equality or understanding. Access to reliable information, digital literacy, and the ability to evaluate sources remain essential.

Roth and colleagues argue that digital technologies are changing the conditions under which knowledge is produced in the social sciences and humanities. Their work highlights the need to reconsider established theoretical approaches in light of digital society. Traditional concepts of community, identity, power, and communication must be examined in relation to digital environments. Digital transformation therefore involves more than the replacement of traditional tools with electronic systems. It represents a broader change in social practices, institutional relationships, and cultural expectations.

Digital Humanities and Interdisciplinary Knowledge:-

Digital humanities has developed as an interdisciplinary field combining humanistic inquiry with computational methods. It allows researchers to examine literary texts, historical documents, cultural archives, and social patterns through digital tools. However, digital humanities also raises questions about interpretation, representation, and the limits of quantitative analysis. Salerno describes the development of digital humanities as part of the wider evolution of computing, software, and networking technologies. The field has generated debates concerning whether digital humanities should be understood as a distinct discipline or as a new approach to existing humanistic research.

Digital methods can help scholars identify patterns and examine large collections of material. Nevertheless, numerical analysis cannot fully replace historical context, emotional understanding, cultural interpretation, or ethical reflection. A balanced approach requires cooperation between technical expertise and humanistic knowledge. The humanities are particularly important because they ask questions about meaning and value. They investigate what people consider important, how cultures develop, and how social institutions affect human experience. Consequently, digital transformation should be studied through both computational and interpretive perspectives.

Technology, Identity, and Social Norms:-

Digital platforms have become important spaces for the presentation and negotiation of identity. Individuals may use online environments to express opinions, participate in communities, and communicate cultural affiliations. These platforms can support self-expression, but they can also encourage simplified or commercially shaped forms of identity. Freelon emphasizes the relationship between technology, identity, and social norms. Digital technologies may reproduce existing inequalities, but they can also create opportunities for social inclusion and collective action. The social effects of technology depend partly on how platforms are designed and how individuals and institutions use them. Identity in digital environments is influenced by social expectations, platform rules, audience reactions, and algorithmic systems. The possibility of presenting different aspects of oneself to different audiences may provide freedom, but it can also create pressure to maintain a particular public image. The study of digital identity therefore requires attention to personal autonomy, cultural diversity, privacy, and the power of technological institutions.

Social Media and Collective Action:-

Social media has changed the organization and communication of social movements. Digital platforms allow individuals to distribute information quickly, coordinate activities, and reach audiences beyond their immediate communities. These developments can reduce some barriers to participation in public life. Recent research by Shoshan, Oser, and Castro examines the development of scholarship on social movements and social media during the age of datafication. Their study suggests that digital platforms can empower collective action while also creating new constraints. The same technologies that facilitate communication may be used for surveillance, manipulation, or the spread of misleading information.

Digital participation is therefore not automatically democratic. The influence of algorithms, unequal access to digital resources, and the concentration of platform ownership can shape which voices become visible. Understanding digital activism requires attention to both its possibilities and its limitations.

Research Methodology:-

This paper adopts a qualitative and conceptual research methodology. It draws upon interdisciplinary literature in digital humanities, sociology, communication studies, ethics, and social theory. The study uses secondary sources, including scholarly articles and academic discussions concerning digital transformation, social relationships, cultural identity, digital humanities, and technology-related inequalities. The selected literature is examined through critical thematic analysis.

The major themes considered in the study are:-

- Digital communication and interpersonal relationships.
- Cultural identity and social participation.
- Digital inequality and access to knowledge.
- Privacy, algorithmic power, and ethical responsibility.
- Human-centered approaches to technological development.

The purpose of the study is not to produce statistical measurements but to develop a critical understanding of how digital technology affects human values and social life. The conceptual approach makes it possible to connect technological developments with broader questions of dignity, freedom, equality, and responsibility.

Digital Technology and Human Communication:-

Communication is one of the areas most deeply affected by digital technology. Email, messaging applications, video calls, and social networking platforms have made it possible for individuals to communicate across geographical distances. Families, educational institutions, businesses, and social organizations use digital communication to maintain relationships and exchange information. Digital communication provides several advantages. It can reduce the barriers created by distance, support people with different communication needs, and allow information to be shared rapidly. Students can communicate with teachers, researchers can collaborate internationally, and communities can organize around shared interests.

However, digital communication also changes the nature of human interaction. Face-to-face communication includes gestures, tone, physical presence, and other forms of contextual information. Text-based communication may not always convey these elements accurately. As a result, misunderstandings can arise, particularly when messages are brief or emotionally sensitive. The speed of digital communication may also create an expectation of immediate responses. Individuals may feel pressure to remain constantly available, even when they require rest or personal time. This can affect personal boundaries and the quality of social relationships.

Another important issue concerns the difference between the quantity and quality of communication. A person may maintain contact with many online connections while experiencing limited emotional closeness. Digital platforms can expand social networks, but meaningful relationships still depend on trust, empathy, mutual respect, and shared experiences. A human-centered approach to digital communication should therefore recognize that technology is a means of supporting relationships rather than a complete substitute for human presence. Digital tools can strengthen relationships when used thoughtfully, but their value depends on the quality of interaction they enable.

Digital Technology and Cultural Identity:-

Culture includes language, traditions, beliefs, artistic expressions, collective memories, and social practices. Digital technology has created new opportunities for cultural preservation and exchange. Historical documents, photographs, music, oral traditions, and literary works can be digitized and made accessible to wider audiences. Digital archives can be particularly valuable for communities whose cultural materials have historically received limited institutional attention. Local histories, regional languages, and traditional knowledge may be documented and shared through digital platforms. Such activities can support cultural continuity and encourage younger generations to engage with their heritage. At the same time, digital culture can create pressures toward standardization. Global platforms often promote content that attracts large audiences, and this may

favor dominant languages, commercial trends, and widely circulated cultural forms. Smaller communities may find it difficult to maintain visibility in an environment shaped by popularity-based systems.

Language is an important example. Digital communication can support the use of regional and minority languages, but limited digital resources may restrict their representation in search systems, educational tools, and artificial intelligence applications. The absence of linguistic diversity in technology can contribute to cultural marginalization. Cultural identity in digital environments is therefore shaped by both participation and power. People may use technology to preserve and express their traditions, but they must also navigate platform rules, commercial interests, and unequal visibility. A responsible digital culture should encourage multilingualism, cultural diversity, community participation, and ethical preservation of cultural materials. Digital archives should not treat communities merely as sources of data. Instead, communities should have a meaningful role in deciding how their cultural knowledge is represented and shared.

Digital Inequality and Social Exclusion:-

One of the major social challenges associated with digital technology is the digital divide. The digital divide refers to unequal access to digital devices, reliable internet connections, technological skills, and meaningful opportunities to use digital resources. Access to technology is influenced by income, geographical location, education, gender, disability, age, and social conditions. Individuals living in areas with limited connectivity may face difficulties in accessing online education, employment opportunities, government services, and health information.

Digital inequality is not limited to the possession of a device. A person may own a smartphone but lack the skills, language resources, or financial stability required to use digital services effectively. Similarly, access to the internet may be restricted by expensive data plans, unreliable electricity, or limited digital infrastructure. The consequences of digital inequality can be significant. Students without adequate digital resources may experience educational disadvantages. Job seekers may face difficulties applying for employment when recruitment systems are primarily online. Citizens may struggle to access public services that have been transferred to digital platforms.

Digital exclusion can also reinforce existing social inequalities. Groups that already experience economic or cultural marginalization may receive fewer benefits from technological development. Consequently, digital policies should address not only infrastructure but also affordability, accessibility, education, and social inclusion. The reduction of digital inequality requires cooperation among governments, educational institutions, technology companies, and civil society. Public investment in connectivity, digital literacy, accessible design, and multilingual resources can help ensure that technology serves a wider section of society. Digital development should be evaluated by asking who benefits from technological progress and who remains excluded from it.

Privacy, Data, and Algorithmic Power:-

Digital platforms collect and process large amounts of information about individuals. Search histories, location data, online interactions, and usage patterns may be used to personalize services, improve systems, or support commercial activities. Although data-driven technologies can provide convenience, they also raise questions concerning privacy and personal autonomy. Privacy is closely connected to human dignity and freedom. Individuals should have meaningful control over information about themselves. When personal data are collected without clear understanding or meaningful consent, people may lose control over aspects of their identity and behavior.

Algorithms also influence the information people encounter. Digital platforms may recommend content based on previous interactions, predicted preferences, or commercial objectives. These systems can help users discover relevant information, but they may also create narrow information environments in which individuals encounter similar opinions repeatedly. Algorithmic systems are not necessarily neutral. They are designed by people and institutions, trained on particular datasets, and applied within social contexts. If the underlying data contain inequalities or biases, technological systems may reproduce those problems. For example, automated systems used in education, employment, or public administration may produce unfair outcomes if their design fails to account for social diversity. Such risks demonstrate the importance of transparency, accountability, and human oversight. Ethical technological governance should include clear explanations of data practices, protection against unnecessary surveillance, mechanisms for challenging automated decisions, and safeguards for vulnerable communities. Individuals should not be treated simply as sources of data or targets of prediction. Technology should support human agency rather than reduce people to measurable profiles.

Artificial Intelligence and Human Values:-

Artificial intelligence has introduced new possibilities in education, research, translation, creative production, and public services. AI-based tools can assist with information processing, language support, pattern recognition, and the organization of complex material. However, artificial intelligence also raises important questions about responsibility, fairness, authorship,

employment, and the future of human judgment. AI systems can produce inaccurate information, reflect biases in their training data, or generate outputs that appear convincing without being reliable.

The use of artificial intelligence in education and research requires particular care. Students and researchers should understand that technological assistance does not eliminate the need for critical thinking, source evaluation, or intellectual responsibility. Human judgment remains necessary when interpreting information and making decisions. AI also raises questions about creativity and cultural production. Although computational systems can generate text, images, music, and other forms of content, the cultural meaning of creative work involves historical context, personal experience, intention, and social interpretation. These dimensions cannot be understood only through technical performance. A human-centered approach to artificial intelligence should therefore emphasize fairness, transparency, accountability, and respect for human autonomy. AI systems should be designed to support people and institutions while recognizing their limitations. The development of AI should be guided by public discussion and ethical reflection. Decisions about technology should not be determined solely by commercial interests or technical capability. Communities, educators, policymakers, and researchers should participate in discussions about how AI affects society.

Digital Technology, Education, and Knowledge:-

Education has been transformed by online learning platforms, electronic libraries, digital classrooms, and multimedia resources. Digital tools can expand access to educational materials and support flexible learning. Students may access lectures, books, research articles, and instructional videos from different locations. Digital education can be particularly useful for learners who face geographical or institutional barriers. It can support independent study, collaboration, and the development of technological skills. Digital resources also provide opportunities for interactive and multimedia-based learning. Nevertheless, digital education presents several challenges. Unequal access to devices and connectivity can create differences in learning opportunities. Students may also experience difficulties in maintaining concentration, evaluating online information, or receiving personal guidance.

The abundance of digital information does not guarantee knowledge. Knowledge requires interpretation, critical thinking, comparison, and reflection. Students must learn to distinguish reliable evidence from misinformation and commercial content. Teachers remain important in developing these abilities. Education should not focus only on teaching students how to operate digital tools. It should also cultivate ethical judgment, creativity, empathy, civic responsibility, and intellectual independence. Digital literacy should therefore be understood as a combination of technical competence and critical awareness. A digitally literate individual should be able to access information, evaluate its reliability, protect personal data, communicate responsibly, and understand the social consequences of technology.

Digital Technology and Social Responsibility:-

Technological development creates responsibilities for individuals, institutions, and governments. Users have a responsibility to communicate respectfully, protect personal information, verify information before sharing it, and consider the effects of their online behavior. Educational institutions have a responsibility to promote digital literacy and ethical awareness. They should help students understand issues such as privacy, misinformation, cyberbullying, intellectual responsibility, and the social consequences of automated systems. Technology companies also have important responsibilities. Platform design influences user behavior, the visibility of information, and the distribution of power. Companies should consider the effects of their products on privacy, mental well-being, social equality, and public discourse. Governments must create legal and institutional frameworks that protect citizens while supporting innovation. Effective governance should address data protection, accessibility, algorithmic accountability, and the rights of individuals in digital environments.

Social responsibility also requires attention to environmental concerns. Digital infrastructures depend on energy, minerals, manufacturing systems, and electronic devices. The expansion of technology can generate electronic waste and increase pressure on natural resources. Sustainable technological development must therefore consider the environmental consequences of production, use, and disposal. A responsible digital society is not created by technology alone. It depends on ethical institutions, informed citizens, inclusive policies, and a commitment to human dignity.

Discussion:-

The analysis demonstrates that digital technology has a complex relationship with human values. It can strengthen communication, expand cultural participation, and improve access to knowledge. At the same time, it can create new forms of inequality, surveillance, social pressure, and exclusion. The effects of technology are not predetermined. They depend on the social and institutional conditions in which technological systems are developed and used. The same platform may provide valuable opportunities for one group while creating disadvantages for another. From a humanities perspective, the most important question is not simply whether technology is efficient. The deeper question concerns the kind of society that technological development helps create. Does it support equality and freedom? Does it respect cultural diversity? Does it

encourage meaningful relationships? Does it protect human dignity? These questions demonstrate the importance of interdisciplinary research. Technical knowledge is essential for understanding how digital systems operate, but humanistic and social inquiry is necessary for examining their meanings and consequences. Digital society requires a balance between innovation and reflection. Technological progress should be accompanied by ethical education, democratic participation, and mechanisms of accountability. The humanities can contribute by examining historical experiences, cultural values, social inequalities, and the ethical dimensions of technological change. A human-centered digital future should not reject technology. Instead, it should seek to shape technology according to social needs and moral principles.

Recommendations:-

Based on the discussion, the following recommendations are proposed:-

Promote Digital Literacy:-

Educational institutions should provide digital literacy programs that include technical skills, critical thinking, source evaluation, privacy awareness, and ethical communication.

Reduce the Digital Divide:-

Governments and relevant institutions should improve internet infrastructure, support affordable access to devices and connectivity, and develop accessible digital services for disadvantaged communities.

Protect Privacy:-

Organizations should adopt transparent data practices and provide individuals with meaningful information about how their personal data are collected, stored, and used.

Encourage Cultural Diversity:-

Digital platforms and educational institutions should support multilingual content, regional cultural archives, and the representation of marginalized communities.

Strengthen Algorithmic Accountability:-

Automated systems should be subject to appropriate testing, transparency requirements, human oversight, and mechanisms for challenging unfair outcomes.

Support Ethical AI Education:-

Students, researchers, and professionals should be encouraged to understand both the capabilities and limitations of artificial intelligence. AI use should complement, rather than replace, critical human judgment.

Encourage Sustainable Technology:-

Technological policies should consider energy use, electronic waste, responsible production, and the environmental effects of digital infrastructures.

Conclusion:-

Digital technology has become a central force in contemporary social and cultural life. It has transformed communication, education, knowledge production, cultural expression, and public participation. These developments provide important opportunities for human development, but they also create ethical and social challenges. The relationship between technology and human values is not simple or uniform. Digital systems can support equality and inclusion, yet they can also reproduce existing inequalities. They can connect individuals across distance, but they may also weaken personal boundaries and encourage superficial interaction. They can expand access to information, but they can also intensify misinformation and algorithmic influence.

The humanities and social sciences provide essential tools for examining these contradictions. They encourage researchers to consider the historical, cultural, ethical, and political consequences of technological change. Such perspectives are necessary for developing technologies that respect human dignity and promote social justice. The future of digital society should therefore be guided by a human-centered vision. Innovation must be accompanied by responsibility, transparency, cultural sensitivity, and democratic participation. Digital progress should be measured not only by the speed of technological development but also by its ability to improve human well-being and create a more inclusive society. Ultimately, technology should remain a means of supporting human flourishing rather than becoming a substitute for human values.

Declaration:-

Conflict of Interest:-

The author declares no conflict of interest.

Funding:-

No external funding was received for this study.

Author Contribution:-

The author contributed to the conceptualization, analysis, and preparation of the manuscript.

References:-

1. Freelon, D. (2023). At the nexus of technology, identity, and norms. *Journal of Communication*, 73(6), 627–629. <https://doi.org/10.1093/joc/jqad036>
2. Hilbert, M. (2020). Digital technology and social change: The digital transformation of society from a historical perspective. *Dialogues in Clinical Neuroscience*, 22(2). <https://doi.org/10.31887/DCNS.2020.22.2/mhilbert>
3. Lothian, A., & Phillips, A. (2013). Can digital humanities mean transformative critique? *The Journal of e-Media Studies*, 3(1). <https://doi.org/10.1349/PS1.1938-6060.A.425>
4. Roth, S., Dahms, H. F., Welz, F., & Cattacin, S. (2019). Print theories of computer societies: Introduction to the digital transformation of social theory. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 149, 119778. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2019.119778>
5. Salerno, E. (2024). Digital humanities: Mission accomplished? An analysis of scholarly literature. *Digital Scholarship in the Humanities*, 7(1). <https://doi.org/10.1177/20966083241234379>
6. Shoshan, A., Oser, J., & Castro, F. (2026). Social movements and social media: The evolution of scholarship in the age of datafication. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, 13, Article 1024. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-026-07128-9>
7. Viola, L. (2022). The humanities in the digital. In *The Humanities in the Digital: Beyond Critical Digital Humanities* (pp. 1–35). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-16950-2_1